arXiv:0907.4412v1 [math.AT] 25 Jul 2009

THE COHOMOLOGY RING OF THE SPACE OF RATIONAL FUNCTIONS

DINESH DESHPANDE

Abstract. Let Rat_k be the space of based holomorphic maps from S^2 to itself of degree k. Let β_k denote the Artin's braid group on k strings and let $B\beta_k$ be the classifying space of β_k. Let C_k denote the space of configurations of length less than or equal to k of distinct points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with labels in S^1. The three spaces Rat_k, $B\beta_{2k}$, C_k are all stably homotopy equivalent to each other. For an odd prime p, the $\mathbb{F}_p$-cohomology ring of the three spaces are isomorphic to each other. The $\mathbb{F}_2$-cohomology ring of $B\beta_{2k}$ is isomorphic to that of C_k. We show that for all values of k except 1 and 3, the $\mathbb{F}_2$-cohomology ring of Rat_k is not isomorphic to that of $B\beta_{2k}$ or C_k. This in particular implies that the $H\mathbb{F}_2$-localization of Rat_k is not homotopy equivalent to $H\mathbb{F}_2$-localization of $B\beta_{2k}$ or C_k. We also show that for $k \geq 1$, $B\beta_{2k}$ and $B\beta_{2k+1}$ have homotopy equivalent $H\mathbb{F}_2$-localizations.

1. Introduction

Let β_k denote the Artin's braid group on k strings. Let $B\beta_k$ be the classifying space of β_k. Let $C_k(\mathbb{R}^2, S^1)$ denote the space of configurations of length less than or equal to k of distict points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with labels in S^1, with some identifications. We use just C_k to denote the space $C_k(\mathbb{R}^2, S^1)$. Let Rat_k be the space of based holomorphic maps from S^2 to itself of degree k.

[13],[6, 7] shows that theses three spaces Rat_k, $B\beta_{2k}$, C_k are all stably homotopy equivalent. In fact, [8, 14] shows that these spaces split stably as a wedge sum $\vee_{j\leq k} D_j(S^1)$, where $D_j = C_j/C_{j-1}$ is a space related to the Brown-Gitler spectra. The three spaces are closely related to $\Omega^2 S^2$. We explain some facts about these spaces in the next section.

Totaro [15] has shown that the three spaces have isomorphic $\mathbb{F}_p$-cohomologies for an odd prime p. He has also shown that the $\mathbb{F}_2$-cohomology ring of $B\beta_{2k}$ is isomorphic to that of C_k and if $k+1$ is not a power of 2, then the $\mathbb{F}_2$-cohomology ring of Rat_k is not isomorphic to that of $B\beta_{2k}$ or C_k. This paper extends the result to all values of k except when $k = 1$ or $k = 3$ [Theorem 3.1]. This in particular implies that Rat_k is not homotopy equivalent to C_k if k is not equal to 1 or 3. Bousfield has defined the localization of spaces with respect to homology in [2]. Two spaces X and Y have homotopy equivalent HR-localizations if and only if there are maps $X \to X_1 \leftarrow Y$ such that each map induces an isomorphism on homology groups with coefficients in the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_p$ or $\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]$. Our result implies that, $H\mathbb{F}_2$-localizations of Rat_k and $B\beta_{2k}$ are not homotopy equivalent. We also show that for $k \geq 1$ $B\beta_{2k}$ and $B\beta_{2k+1}$ have isomorphic $\mathbb{F}_2$-cohomologies and $H\mathbb{F}_2$-localizations of $B\beta_{2k}$ and $B\beta_{2k+1}$ are homotopy equivalent [Lemma 2.2].

For $k = 1$, the three spaces Rat_1, $B\beta_2$ and C_1 are all homotopy equivalent to S^1. For $k = 3$, it turns out that the corresponding three spaces have isomorphic cohomology rings with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p$ for any prime p. Moreover, the actions of the dual of the Steenrod algebra on the $\mathbb{F}_2$-homologies of Rat_3, $B\beta_6$ and C_3 are also isomorphic.

Acknowledgements: The author thanks his PhD supervisor Burt Totaro for introducing to this subject and for numerous interesting discussions.

2. $B\beta_k$, C_k, Rat_k

In this section, we describe the three spaces Rat_k, $B\beta_k$ and C_k, their respective integral cohomologies and their relation with the space $\Omega^2 S^2$. We also describe the coalgebra structure of their respective $\mathbb{F}_2$-homologies. For this chapter, the default ring of coefficients is $\mathbb{F}_2$.

Let $\Omega^2 S^2$ be the double loop space of S^2, i.e the space of maps from S^2 to itself.

$$\pi_0(\Omega^2 S^2) \cong \pi_2(S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

where the degree map induces an isomorphism

$$\pi_0(\Omega^2 S^2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}.$$

2.1. $B\beta_k$**.** The braid space $B\beta_k$ is the classifying space of the braid group on k-strings, β_k. Let $F(\mathbb{R}^2, k)$ denote the configuration space of k-points in $\mathbb{R}^2$, i.e.

$$F(\mathbb{R}^2, k) = \{(x_1, ..., x_k) | x_i \in \mathbb{R}^2, i \neq j \Rightarrow x_i \neq x_j\}.$$

The symmetric group on k elements Σ_k acts freely on $F(\mathbb{R}^2, k)$. We can take $F(\mathbb{R}^2, k)/\Sigma_k$ as a model of the classifying space of β_k. Thus the space $B\beta_k$ is the space of unordered k-tuples of points in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The space $B\beta_k$ can also be described as the space of degree k complex polynomials without multiple roots and with the leading coefficient equal to the unity.

The rational cohomology of the braid groups is as follows ([16], Theorem 8.1-2).

Lemma 2.1. *For $k \geq 2$, the rational cohomology groups of $B\beta_k$ are trivial except for*

$$H^0(B\beta_k; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q},$$
$$H^1(B\beta_k; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}.$$

And for $k \geq 1$,

$$H^i(B\beta_{2k+1}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^i(B\beta_{2k}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

As the spaces $B\beta_{2k}$, Rat_k and C_k are stably homotopy equivalent to each other,

$$H^i(B\beta_{2k}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^i(Rat_k; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^i(C_k; \mathbb{Z}).$$

F. Cohen has calculated the $\mathbb{F}_p$-homology of $\coprod B\beta_k$ and $\Omega^2 S^2$ in [9]. The spaces $\Omega^2 S^2$ and $B\beta_k$ are $\mathcal{C}_2$-spaces, i.e. $\mathcal{C}_2$, the 'little 2-cubes operad' acts on them. Hence there is the Araki-Kudo operation on the $\mathbb{F}_2$-homologies of $\coprod_k B\beta_k$ and $\Omega^2 S^2$, $Q : H_q \to H_{2q+1}$ and the Pontrjagin product which makes their homologies commutative rings. Let $\Omega^2_k S^2$ denote the k^{th} component of $\Omega^2 S^2$ corresponding to the degree k maps $f : S^2 \to S^2$. Then Q maps $H_i(\Omega^2_k S^2)$ to $H_{2i+1}(\Omega^2_{2k} S^2)$.

There is a natural map $\phi : B\beta_k \to \Omega^2_k S^2$. This map can be described as follows. Replace the k-tuple of distinct points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ by k disjoint unit circles in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then define a map from $\mathbb{R}^2 \cup \infty$ to itself by sending everything except interiors of unit circles to the point at infinity and by sending the interior of each unit circle onto the whole of $\mathbb{R}^2$ homeomorphically. Identifying $\mathbb{R}^2 \cup \infty$ with S^2 by the stereographic projection gives a degree k map from S^2 to itself. This is precisely the natural map ϕ from $B\beta_k$ to $\Omega^2_k S^2$. An algebraic construction of a map $B\beta_k \to \Omega^2 S^2$ is given in section 1, [13].

Note that

$$\pi_1(B\beta_k) \cong \beta_k,$$
$$\pi_1(\Omega^2 S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z},$$
$$\pi_n(B\beta_k) \cong \{0\}, \forall k > 1.$$

Hence the map ϕ can not be a homotopy equivalence in any range of dimensions. But it turns out that the map induces an isomorphism of homologies up to dimension $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$:=*the greatest integer smaller than or equal to* $k/2$.

The map ϕ induces a map $\Phi : \coprod_{k \geq 0} B\beta_k \to \Omega^2 S^2$. Let g be the generator of $H_0(B\beta_1)$. By using the map Φ, let g also denote the generator of $H_0(\Omega^2_1 S^2)$. Then the homology of these two spaces is build-up by the 'Araki-Kudo' operation Q and its iterations $Q^i(x) = Q(Q^{i-1}(x))$. To be precise, there are algebra isomorphisms (appendix III, [9])

$$H_*(\coprod B\beta_k) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[g, Qg, Q^2 g, \ldots],$$

$$H_*(\Omega^2 S^2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[g, g^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[Qg, Q^2 g, \ldots].$$

Note that the dimension in homology of $Q^i g$ is $2^i - 1$ and is contained in the 2^ith component of $\Omega^2 S^2$. Define the weight of a homology class to be the component in which that class lives. Hence, $H_*(B\beta_k)$ is the span of monomials in $g, Qg, Q^2g, ...$ of weight k, where $Q^i g$ has the weight 2^i and the dimension $2^i - 1$. Hence note that for any k, the top dimensional homology of $B\beta_k$ is generated by a single element. If the binary expansion of k is $k = \sum_{j \in J} 2^j$, then this top dimension is $H_{k-|J|}$. Also notice that $Q(x^2) = x^2 Qx + Qx \cdot x^2 = 0$ as the homology coefficients are in $\mathbb{F}_2$.

Further, this operation Q is linear and that the Cartan formula holds (lemma 5.2, IX, [9])

$$Q(xy) = x^2 Qy + Qx \cdot y^2.$$

The coproduct structure on the homology, i.e. the cup product strucutre on the cohomology of $B\beta_k$ is as given below. It turns out that $H_*(B\beta_k)$ is a primitively generated Hopf algebra. i.e., let

$$\psi : H_* \to H_* \otimes H_*$$

denote the coproduct on the homology. Then $\psi(g) = g \otimes g$ and $Q^i g$ for $i \geq 1$ is primitive in its component,

$$\psi(Q^i g) = g^{2^i} \otimes Q^i g + Q^i g \otimes g^{2^i}.$$

ψ being a coproduct map satisfies that

$$\psi(xy) = \psi(x)\psi(y).$$

The expressions for $Q(g^{-1})$ and $Q(g^{-1}Qg)$ in $H_*(\Omega^2 S^2)$ in terms of g and $Q^i g$ can be obtained using the Cartan formula. They are,

$$Q(g^{-1}) = g^{-4} Q(g)$$
$$Q(g^{-1}Qg) = g^{-2} Q^2 g + g^{-4} (Qg)^3$$

$H^*(B\beta_{2k})$ is isomorphic to $H^*(B\beta_{2k+1})$ [1, 11]. We will show that $B\beta_{2k}$ and $B\beta_{2k+1}$ have homotopy equivalent $H\mathbb{F}_2$-localizations.

Lemma 2.2. *The cohomology ring $H^*(B\beta_{2k})$ is isomorphic to $H^*(B\beta_{2k+1})$. In fact, $B\beta_{2k}$ and $B\beta_{2k+1}$ have homotopy equivalent $H\mathbb{F}_2$-localizations.*

Proof: Let $x \in H_i(B\beta_{2k})$. Hence x is an element of weight $2k$ and dimension i in $\mathbb{Z}_2[g, Qg, Q^2g, \cdots]$. Hence gx is an element of weight $2k+1$ and dimension i. Hence $gx \in H_i(B\beta_{2k+1})$. Also, let y be a monomial in $\mathbb{Z}_2[g, Qg, Q^2g, \cdots]$ of the dimension i and the weight $2k+1$, i.e. $y \in H_i(B\beta_{2k+1})$. As each of the $Q^i g$ has even weight, y is divisible by g, and $y/g = x \in H_i(B\beta_{2k})$. Also

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(y) =& \psi(g)\psi(x) \\ =& (g \otimes g)\psi(x).\end{aligned}$$

Hence multiplication by g induces an isomorphism of coalgebras

$$H_*(B\beta_{2k}) \xrightarrow{\cdot g} H_*(B\beta_{2k+1}).$$

Hence,

$$H^*(B\beta_{2k}) \cong H^*(B\beta_{2k+1}).$$

Furthermore, let $i_{2k} : B\beta_{2k} \to B\beta_{2k+1}$ be the inclusion map given by adding a point away from a given $2k$-tuple to get a $2k+1$-tuple. Then note that i_{2k_*} is precisely multiplication by g. Hence i_{2k_*} induces isomorphism on $\mathbb{F}_2$-homologies. Hence $B\beta_{2k}$ and $B\beta_{2k+1}$ have homotopy equivalent $H\mathbb{F}_2$-localizations.

□

The action of the dual of the Steenrod algebra on $H_*(\coprod B\beta_k)$ is given in the appendix of [5]. Let $Sq^*_j : H_n(-) \to H_{n-j}(-)$ be the dual of the jth Steenrod operation Sq^j. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Sq^*_j(Q^i g) =& 0 \text{ if } j \geq 2. \\ Sq^*_1(Q^i g) =& (Q^{i-1}g)^2 \text{ if } i \geq 2 \\ Sq^*_1(Qg) =& 0. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. C_k**.** The following description of the configuration spaces is taken from [15], i.e. in turn from [10].

Let $C(\mathbb{R}^2, Y)$ denote the space of all configurations of distinct points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with labels in Y. It is defined by

$$C(\mathbb{R}^2, Y) = (\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F(\mathbb{R}^2, j) \times_{\Sigma_j} Y^j)/\sim$$

and if $* \in Y$ is a fixed basepoint then the equivalence relation $\sim$ is given by

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_j) \times_{\Sigma_j} (t_1, \ldots, t_{j-1}, *) \sim (x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1}) \times_{\Sigma_{j-1}} (t_1, \ldots, t_{j-1}).$$

Let $C_k(\mathbb{R}^2, Y)$ denote the subspace of all configurations of length less than or equal to k. i.e.

$$C_k(\mathbb{R}^2, Y) = (\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} F(\mathbb{R}^2, j) \times_{\Sigma_j} Y^j)/\sim .$$

We denote by C_k the space $C_k(\mathbb{R}^2, S^1)$.

There is a relation between configuration spaces and iterated loop spaces(May-Milgram and Segal). If Y is a connected CW-complex then $C(\mathbb{R}^2, Y)$ is homotopy equivalent to the based loop space $\Omega^2\Sigma^2 Y$ which is defined by

$$\Omega^2\Sigma^2 Y = \{f : S^2 \to \Sigma^2 Y | f(\infty) = *\}$$

Hence C_k can be considered as a finite dimensional approximation to $\Omega^2 S^3$.

$$\pi_1(C_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

The Hopf map $S^3 \to S^2$ induces a map of 2-fold loop spaces, from $\Omega^2 S^3$ to $\Omega^2 S^2$. The long exact sequence of the homotopy groups of the fibration $S^1 \to S^3 \to S^2$ implies that $\Omega^2 S^3 \to \Omega^2 S^2$ gives the homotopy equivalence from $\Omega^2 S^3$ to $\Omega^2_0 S^2$. This helps in obtaining the following result (theorem 3.1, III, [9]),

$$H_*(\bigcup_{k\geq 0} C_k) \cong H_*(\Omega^2_0 S^2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[g^{-2}Qg, Q(g^{-2}Qg), \ldots].$$

$H_* C_k$ is the span of monomials of weight less than or equal to k, where the weight of $Q^i(g^{-2}Qg)$ is 2^i and it lives in the dimension $2^{i+1} - 1$. Proposition 1 from [15] shows that as coalgebras,

$$H_*(B\beta_{2k}) \cong H_*(C_k).$$

Havlicek [12] has described the precise cohomology ring of C_k as the dual to this coalgebra.

2.3. Rat_k**.** The space $Rat_k(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ or Rat_k is the space of based holomorphic maps $S^2 \to S^2$ of degree k. It can be described more precisely as the space of rational functions from $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ to $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ which sends ∞ to 1, i.e.

$$Rat_k := \{\frac{f(z)}{h(z)} = \frac{z^k + a_{k-1}z^{k-1} + \ldots + a_0}{z^k + b_{k-1}z^{k-1} + \ldots + b_0} | f(z) \text{ and } h(z) \text{ are coprime}\}$$

Rat_k is a nilpotent space up to dimension k (corollary 6.3, [13]). i.e. the fundamental group of Rat_k acts nilpotently on homotopy groups $\pi_i(Rat_k)$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$. Consider the map given by resultant of two polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} R : Rat_k \to& \mathbb{C}^* \\ (f/h) \mapsto& resultant(f, h). \end{aligned}$$

Then the map R induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups (proposition 6.4, [13])

$$\pi_1(Rat_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

There is a natural map $Rat_k \to \Omega^2_k S^2$ which simply forgets that a map in Rat_k is holomorphic. This map is well described in ([3], [13]). This induces a map

$$\chi : \coprod_{k\geq 0} Rat_k \to \Omega^2 S^2.$$

The map χ preserves the action of $\mathcal{C}_2$ operad on the spaces $\coprod_{k\geq 0} Rat_k$ and $\Omega^2 S^2$.

The map χ induces a map on the homologies and in the proof of Theorem1 in [15], it is shown that this induced map is an injection. The image of this map is a polynomial ring generated by g and $Q^i(g^{-1}Qg)$ for $i \geq 0$. To be precise,

$$H_*(\coprod_k Rat_k) = \mathbb{F}_2[g, g^{-1}Qg, Q(g^{-1}Qg), \ldots].$$

As before, g has weight 1 and dimension zero, and $Q^{i-1}(g^{-1}Qg)$, $i \geq 1$ has weight 2^{i-1} and dimension $2^i - 1$. $H_*(Rat_k)$ as a sub-coalgebra of $H_*(\coprod_k Rat_k)$ is generated by the monomials of weight k. Note again that the top dimensional homology of Rat_k is generated by a single element.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the generators of $H_*(\coprod_k Rat_k)$ and $H_*(\coprod_k B\beta_k)$. g of course corresponds to g and $Q^{i-1}(g^{-1}Qg)$ corresponds to $Q^i g$. Note that in this correspondence, except for g, the weights of the generators of $H_*(\coprod_k Rat_k)$ are exactly the half of the weights of the generators they correspond to in $H_*(\coprod_k B\beta_k)$.

The coproduct structure on $H_*(Rat_k)$ is as follows. $g^{-1}Qg$ is primitive in its component, but $Q^i(g^{-1}Qg)$ is not primitive in its component for $i \geq 1$.

$$\psi(g^{-1}Qg) = g \otimes g^{-1}Qg + g^{-1}Qg \otimes g.$$

And for $i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi Q^i(g^{-1}Qg) = &\underbrace{g^{2^i} \otimes Q^i(g^{-1}Qg)}_{0,2^{i+1}-1} + \underbrace{Q^i g \otimes (g^{-1}Qg)^{2^i}}_{2^i-1,2^i} + \underbrace{(g^{-1}Qg)^{2^i} \otimes Q^i g}_{2^i,2^i-1} \\ &+ \underbrace{Q^i(g^{-1}Qg) \otimes g^{2^i}}_{2^{i+1}-1,0}.\end{aligned}$$

Numbers appearing below a symbol indicate the dimension in homology of the corresponding symbol. i.e. $(0, 2^{i+1}-1)$ below $g^{2^i} \otimes Q^i(g^{-1}Qg)$ indicate that g^{2^i} is zero dimensional and dimension of $Q^i(g^{-1}Qg)$ is $2^{i+1} - 1$.

3. $\mathbb{F}_2$-COHOMOLOGY RING OF Rat_k IS NOT ISOMORPHIC TO THAT OF $B\beta_{2k}$ OR C_k

This section proves that if k is not equal to 1 or 3, then the cohomology ring of Rat_k with coefficients in F_2 is not isomorphic to the cohomology ring of $B\beta_{2k}$ or C_k with coefficients in F_2. Totaro [15] has shown this statement when $k+1$ is not a power of 2. For $k = 1$, all three spaces Rat_1, $B\beta_2$ and C_1 are homotopy equivalent ot S^1. For $k = 3$, the three spaces Rat_3, $B\beta_6$ and C_3 have isomorphic $\mathbb{F}_p$-homology as coalgebras for any prime p. Following theorem shows the result for the remaining values of k, that is when $k+1$ is a power of 2 and $k > 3$. This in particular shows that if k is not equal to 1 or 3, then there does not exists any sequence of maps

$$B\beta_{2k} \to X_1 \leftarrow Rat_k$$

each of which induces isomorphism on $\mathbb{F}_2$-homology. Hence in the context of [2] our result implies that the two spaces Rat_k and $B\beta_{2k}$ can not have homotopy equivalent HF_2-localizations. For completeness, we include Totaro's argument when $k+1$ is not a power of 2.

Theorem 3.1. *The $\mathbb{F}_2$-cohomology of Rat_k is not isomorphic to the $\mathbb{F}_2$-cohomology of $B\beta_{2k}$ or C_k except when $k = 1$ or 3.*

Proof:

Firstly assume that $k+1$ is not a power of 2. Let

$$k=\sum_{j\in J}2^j.$$

The top dimensional homology group of both Rat_k and $B\beta_{2k}$ is 1-dimensional. $H_{2k-|J|}$, the top dimensional homology of Rat_k is spanned by x equal to $\prod_{j\in J}Q^j(g^{-1}Qg)$ and of $B\beta_{2k}$ by y equal to $\prod_{j\in J}Q^{j+1}g$.

Consider the set

$$S(x)=\{s\geq 0|\psi(x)|_{H_s\otimes H_{d-s}}\neq 0\}.$$

Similarly define $S(y)$. The aim is to show that $S(x)$ is not equal to $S(y)$ which implies that the homologies of Rat_k and $B\beta_{2k}$ are not isomorphic as coalgebras.

Let r be the smallest integer such that $r\in J$ but $r-1\notin J$. As $k+1$ is not a power of 2, such a r exists. We observe that $\psi(x)$ is non-zero in $H_{2^r-1}\otimes H_{dim(x)-(2^r-1)}$.

$$\psi(x)=\prod_{j\in J}\psi(Q^j(g^{-1}Qg)).$$

There is at least one term in dimension $H_{2^r-1}\otimes H_{dim(x)-(2^r-1)}$ in the expansion of $\psi(Q^j(g^{-1}Qg))$, which is

$$Q^rg\prod_{j\in J,j\neq r}g^{2^j}\otimes(g^{-1}Qg)^{2^r}\prod_{j\in J,j\neq r}Q^j(g^{-1}Qg).$$

As $r-1\notin J$, there is no other term of this dimension in $\psi(x)$. Hence $2^r-1\in S(x)$.

$$\psi(y)=\prod_{j\in J}\Big(\underbrace{g^{2^{j+1}}\otimes Q^{j+1}g}_{0,2^{j+1}-1}+\underbrace{Q^{j+1}g\otimes g^{2^{j+1}}}_{2^{j+1}-1,0}\Big).$$

Note that $\psi(y)$ is zero in dimension $H_{2^r-1}\otimes H_{dim(x)-(2^r-1)}$ as $r-1\notin J$. Hence $2^r-1\notin S(y)$ and $S(x)\neq S(y)$. This proves that whenever $k+1$ is not a power of 2, the cohomology rings $H^*(Rat_k)$ and $H^*(B\beta_{2k})$ or $H^*(C_k)$ are not isomorphic.

Now assume that $k+1$ is a power of 2, and assume that

$$k=\sum_0^r 2^j=2^{r+1}-1.$$

We continue to denote by x the generator of the top dimensional homology group of Rat_k,

$$x=\prod_0^r Q^j(q^{-1}Qg)$$

and by y, the generator of the top dimensional homology group of $B\beta_{2k}$,

$$y=\prod_0^r Q^{j+1}g.$$

For both spaces, the top dimension of homology is $d=2^{r+2}-r-3$.

Let $S(x)$ and $S(y)$ be as before and we will show that $S(x)\neq S(y)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(y)&=\prod_0^r\psi(Q^{j+1}g)\\&=\prod_0^r\Big(\underbrace{g^{2^{j+1}}\otimes Q^{j+1}g}_{0,2^{j+1}-1}+\underbrace{Q^{j+1}g\otimes g^{2^{j+1}}}_{2^{j+1}-1,0}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

Numbers $(0, 2^{j+1} - 1)$ appearing below $g^{2^{j+1}} \otimes Q^{j+1}g$ indicate the dimension in homology of the corresponding element, i.e. $g^{2^{j+1}}$ has dimension 0 and $Q^{j+1}g$ has dimension $2^{j+1} - 1$. Hence dimensions which appear in $\psi(y)$ are precisely those which appear in the expression

$$\Big(((1,0) + (0,1)) \cdot ((0,3) + (3,0)) \cdot ((0,7) + (7,0)) \cdot \ldots\Big)$$

From this expression, it is clear that $2 \notin S(y)$ and $5 \notin S(y)$.

It turns out that although $2 \notin S(x)$, $5 \in S(x)$.

$$\psi(x) = \psi(g^{-1}Qg) \prod_1^r \psi(Q^j(g^{-1}Qg))$$

Using the expressions for $\psi(g^{-1}Qg)$ and $\psi(Q^j(g^{-1}Qg))$, we get that the dimensions which appear in $\psi(x)$ are from the expression

$$((0,1) + (1,0)) \cdot \Big(((0,3) + (1,2) + (2,1) + (3,0)) \cdot ((0,7) + (3,4) + (4,3) + (7,0)) \cdot \ldots\Big)$$

There are exactly two ways to obtain dimension $(2, d-2)$. Namely, $(1,0) \otimes (1,2) \otimes (0,7) \otimes \ldots$ and $(0,1) \otimes (2,1) \otimes (0,7) \otimes \ldots$. The expression corresponding to dimensions $(1,0) \otimes (1,2)$ is $(g^{-1}Qg \otimes g) \cdot (Qg \otimes (g^{-1}Qg)^2)$, which is $g^{-1}(Qg)^2 \otimes g^{-1}(Qg)^2$. By symmetry, expression corresponding to $(0,1) \otimes (2,1)$ is also $g^{-1}(Qg)^2 \otimes g^{-1}(Qg)^2$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x)|_{H_2 \otimes H_{d-2}} &= g^{-1}(Qg)^2 \otimes g^{-1}(Qg)^2 \prod_2^r (g^{2^j} \otimes Q^j(g^{-1}Qg)) \\ &\quad + g^{-1}(Qg)^2 \otimes g^{-1}(Qg)^2 \prod_2^r (g^{2^j} \otimes Q^j(g^{-1}Qg)).\end{aligned}$$

Because the coefficients of the homology are in $\mathbb{F}_2$, the two terms cancel each other. Hence, $2 \notin S(x)$.

There are exactly four ways to obtain dimension $(5, d-5)$. Namely $(1,0) \otimes (1,2) \otimes (3,4)$, $(0,1) \otimes (2,1) \otimes (3,4)$, $(1,0) \otimes (0,3) \otimes (4,3)$ and $(0,1) \otimes (1,2) \otimes (4,3)$. From the paragraph above, first two of these, $(1,0) \otimes (1,2) \otimes (3,4)$ and $(0,1) \otimes (2,1) \otimes (3,4)$ cancel with each other. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x)|_{H_5 \otimes H_{d-5}} &= (g^{-1}Qg \otimes g)(g^2 \otimes Q(g^{-1}Qg))((g^{-1}Qg)^4 \otimes Q^2(g)) \prod_3^r (g^{2^j} \otimes Q^j(g^{-1}Qg)) \\ &\quad + (g \otimes g^{-1}Qg)(Qg \otimes (g^{-1}Qg)^2)((g^{-1}Qg)^4 \otimes Q^2 g) \prod_3^r (g^{2^j} \otimes Q^j(g^{-1}Qg))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \psi(x)|_{H_5 \otimes H_{d-5}} &= g^2(g^1Qg)^5 \otimes gQ^2gQ(g^{-1}Qg) \prod_3^r (g^{2^j} \otimes Q^j(g^{-1}Qg)) \\ &\quad + g^2(g^1Qg)^5 \otimes (g^{-1}Qg)^3Q^2g \prod_3^r (g^{2^j} \otimes Q^j(g^{-1}Qg))\end{aligned}$$

Using that $Q(g^{-1}Qg) = g^{-2}Q^2(g) + g^{-4}(Qg)^3$,

$$\psi(x)|_{H_5 \otimes H_{d-5}} = g^2(g^{-1}Qg)^5 \otimes g^{-1}(Q^2g)^2 \prod_3^r (g^{2^j} \otimes Q^j(g^{-1}Qg))$$

This implies that $5 \in S(x)$, proving the result when $k+1$ is a power of 2.

□

4. Some Questions

$\mathbb{F}_p$-cohomology rings of Rat_3, $B\beta_6$ and C_3 are isomorphic to each other. For $p > 2$, it follows from section 6, [15]. For $p = 2$, we can see this by hand.

$H_*(B\beta_6)$ is the span of monomials of weight 6 in $\mathbb{F}_2[g, Qg, Q^2g, \cdots]$. And these are g^6 (dim 0), g^4Qg (dim 1), $g^2(Qg)^2$ (dim 2), g^2Q^2g, $(Qg)^3$ (both dim 3) and Q^2gQg (dim 4). $H_*(Rat_3)$ is the span of monomials of weight 3 in $\mathbb{F}_2[g, g^{-1}Qg, Q(g^{-1}Qg), \cdots]$. And these are g^3 (dim 0), gQg (dim 1), $g^{-1}(Qg)^2$ (dim 2), $g^{-3}(Qg)^3$, $gQ(g^{-1}Qg)$ (both dim 3) and $g^{-1}QgQ(g^{-1}Qg)$ (dim 4). It is easy to check from above that $H_*(B\beta_6)$ is isomorphic as a coalgebra to $H_*(Rat_3)$ proving that Rat_3, $B\beta_6$ and C_3 have isomorphic $\mathbb{F}_2$-cohomology rings.

We can also see by hand that the action of the Steenrod algebra on $H_*(Rat_3)$ and $H_*(B\beta_6)$ is the same. Consider $g^2Q^2g \in H_3(B\beta_6)$. Then

$$Sq_1^*(g^2Q^2g) = g^2(Qg)^2.$$

The element corresponding to g^2Q^2g in $H_3(Rat_3)$ is $gQ(g^{-1}Qg)$.

$$\begin{aligned} Sq_1^*(gQ(g^{-1}Qg)) =& Sq_1^*(g^{-1}Q^g) + g^{-3}(Qg)^3 \\ =& g^{-1}(Qg)^2. \end{aligned}$$

$g^2(Qg)^2 \in H_2(B\beta_6)$ corresponds to $g^{-1}(Qg)^2 \in H_2(Rat_3)$. Similarly, by checking for each generator, we can verify that the action of Sq_1^* on $H_*(Rat_3)$ and $H_*(B\beta_6)$ is the same.

It is still unknown if Rat_3, $B\beta_6$ and C_3 have homotopy equivalent HF_2-localizations or not. Also it is still unknown for $p > 2$ and $k > 2$, if Rat_k, $B\beta_{2k}$ and C_k have homotopy equivalent $H\mathbb{F}_p$-localizations or not.

Cohen-Shimamoto [10] have shown that Rat_2 and C_2 are not homotopy equivalent to each other by considering natural $\mathbb{Z}$-coverings of these spaces.

$$\pi_1(Rat_k) \cong \pi_1(C_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $\widetilde{Rat}_k$ and $\widetilde{C}_k$ be the universal covers of Rat_k and C_k respectively. Let D_2 be the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-Moore space $S^2 \cup_2 e^3$. It is known that C_2 is stably homotopy equivalent to $S^1 \vee D_2$ [14]. Cohen-Shimamoto show that C_2 is homotopy equivalent to $S^1 \vee D_2$. Hence we can precisely calculate

$$H_2(\widetilde{C}_2; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \pi_2(\widetilde{C}_2) \cong \pi_2(C_2)$$

which is infinitely generated. Whereas $\widetilde{Rat}_k$ is homotopy equivalent to $R^{-1}(\{1\})$ for the resultant map $R : Rat_k \to \mathbb{C}^*$. $R^{-1}(\{1\})$ is a finite CW-complex and hence $H_*(\widetilde{Rat}_k; \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated.

This shows that $\mathbb{Z}$-homologies of $\widetilde{Rat}_2$ and $\widetilde{C}_2$ are not isomorphic and that

$$\pi_2(C_2) \ncong \pi_2(Rat_2).$$

.

Let γ_k be the commutator subgroup $[\beta_k, \beta_k]$ of β_k. Then there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \gamma_k \to \beta_k \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

Let $B\gamma_k$ denote the classifying space of γ_k. The $\mathbb{F}_p$-homology of $B\gamma_k$ is calculated in (theorem 4, [4]), and it is finitely generated.

We conjecture that homology of $\widetilde{C}_k$ is infinitely generated for many values of k whereas we already know that homologies of $\widetilde{Rat}_k$ and $B\gamma_k$ are finitely generated for all k.

References

[1] V. I. Arnol′d. Braids of algebraic functions and cohomologies of swallowtails. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 23(4 (142)):247–248, 1968.

[2] A. K. Bousfield. The localization of spaces with respect to homology. *Topology*, 14:133–150, 1975.

[3] C. P. Boyer and B. M. Mann. Monopoles, nonlinear σ models, and two-fold loop spaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 115(4):571–594, 1988.

[4] F. Callegaro. The homology of the Milnor fiber for classical braid groups. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 6:1903–1923 (electronic), 2006.

[5] F. R. Cohen. Braid orientations and bundles with flat connections. *Invent. Math.*, 46(2):99–110, 1978.

[6] F. R. Cohen, R. L. Cohen, B. M. Mann, and R. J. Milgram. The topology of rational functions and divisors of surfaces. *Acta Math.*, 166(3-4):163–221, 1991.
[7] F. R. Cohen, R. L. Cohen, B. M. Mann, and R. J. Milgram. The homotopy type of rational functions. *Math. Z.*, 213(1):37–47, 1993.
[8] F. R. Cohen, D. M. Davis, P. G. Goerss, and M. E. Mahowald. Integral Brown-Gitler spectra. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 103(4):1299–1304, 1988.
[9] F. R. Cohen, T. J. Lada, and J. P. May. *The homology of iterated loop spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 533. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
[10] R. L. Cohen and D. H. Shimamoto. Rational functions, labelled configurations, and Hilbert schemes. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 43(3):509–528, 1991.
[11] D. B. Fuks. Cohomology of the braid group mod 2. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 4(2):62–73, 1970.
[12] J. W. Havlicek. The cohomology of holomorphic self-maps of the Riemann sphere. *Math. Z.*, 218(2):179–190, 1995.
[13] G. Segal. The topology of spaces of rational functions. *Acta Math.*, 143(1-2):39–72, 1979.
[14] V. P. Snaith. A stable decomposition of $\Omega^n S^n X$. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 7:577–583, 1974.
[15] B. Totaro. The cohomology ring of the space of rational functions. Preprint, MSRI, 1990.
[16] V. V. Vershinin. Braid groups and loop spaces. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 54(2(326)):3–84, 1999.

Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics,, University of Cambridge,, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK
E-mail address: `D.Deshpande@dpmms.cam.ac.uk`